



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Admistrative Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,676	12/04/2003	Anil K. Kumar	1020P17115	9059
57035	7590	07/01/2008	EXAMINER	
KACVINSKY LLC	C/O INTELLEVATE		SCIACCA, SCOTT M	
P.O. BOX 52050	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2146	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/728,676	Applicant(s) KUMAR, ANIL K.
	Examiner Scott M. Sciacca	Art Unit 2146

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott M. Sciacca. (3) _____.

(2) Jason Harrier (Reg. No. 60,964). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wentlink (US 7,136,392).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendments to the independent claims in order to overcome the cited prior art. Specifically, subject matter dealing with determining a size of an MDSU associated with a service and mapping service characteristics to a class of service database was proposed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Scott M. Sciacca/
Examiner, Art Unit 2146

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required